

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_92228
Title of the Manuscript:	NIGERIAN MONETARY POLICY (1991-2021): MONEY SUPPLY RATE AND INFLATION RATE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The concepts of monetary policy et al. (page 1) had better from books or journal articles, instead of Wikipedia. And the link should be deleted. I believe the equations in the article are not made by the authors, please type the equations instead of figures with links. As well, if these equations are not made by the authors, please mark out the original source (like book/journal, author information). The data source in page 9 should be described instead of just listed the links there. The links can be listed in the reference or in the footnote. Table 1 should be as three-line table and the head of all tables should be before the tables. It is better to arrange of 4.3.2 and listed the content of Table 3 in same page. The component of "SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS" must be improved and strengthen, instead of just one sentence. This part is the one of the key parts in this study, which shows the significance of this study. In current manuscript, your article seems like a report, not a research article. Therefore, please fulfill, improve and strengthen each part (conclusion, recommendation) by at least two or three more paragraphs. The cited references in be improved and revised according to the requirements of the journal, the authors can refer to other published papers. The format of the references also needs to be improved. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Article layout needs to be adjusted. line 2 of page 2 lack of "full stop" mark and the redundant "full stop" after the second paragraph in page 2 should be deleted. 	
Optional/General comments	The article is an interesting study, but still exist plenty of tiny problems (including format, typing mistakes, discussion and recommendations is not full), please revise them.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bing He
Department, University & Country	Jiangsu Ocean University, China