

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJEBA_91808</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Impact of Financial Technology (FinTech) on the Economic Growth: Evidence from Palestine</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Fintech is associated with special kinds of technology like blockchain, algorithms, and software (Python) and the innovation of fintech is cryptocurrency. Fintech might not be associated with general technological devices like mobile, internet, and ATMs because these are considered general technological advancements. Therefore, the title of this manuscript could be the impact of technological advancement on economic growth.</li> <li>2. In the abstract, it is suggested to specify the impact of the individual independent variable on the dependent variable rather than a general discussion of the findings.</li> <li>3. In the literature review, we expect the discussion of empirical research objectives, sample data, analysis period, empirical model, research findings, and critical comparison of the empirical findings of previous literature. Hence, there is a scope for improvement in this section.</li> <li>4. It is suggested to mention the sample period that covers the entire sample (for all variables used in this study) instead of just mentioning one variable (GDP per capita).</li> <li>5. In table 5 (page 9), the value of <math>R^2</math> is 0.99. Please explain the interpretation of this value in the manuscript.</li> <li>6. Recommendations are suggested to present in bullet points with brief sentences. In the reference section, in some references, the journal name is in short form, and in the other reference, the journal name is missing. Please have a look at these issues.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	It's a good paper. Language is well-structured with few errors which are appreciated. Also, the econometric tools are very sophisticated and well-presented.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Meskat Ibne Sharif</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>ISCTE-University Institute of Lisbon, Portugal</b>