

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_92241
Title of the Manuscript:	'BETTER LATE THAN NEVER'-A CASE OF DELAYED REIMPLANTATION OF AN AVULSED TOOTH WITH 24 HOURS EXTRAORAL TIME
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title can be shortened, if it is delayed reimplantation, author need not mention the duration of delay.</p> <p>Abstract- ok</p> <p>Introduction- the etiology of avulsion can be common for primary and permanent dentition.</p> <p>In your case report,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. comment on the medical status of the patient and mention the evaluation of other orofacial structures when she reported, as relevant to RTA. 2. Comment on the tetanus immunization status of the patient 3. Mention the duration and type of dry condition the tooth was kept in 4. Preoperative/ investigative radiograph expected as baseline 5. Give detailed procedural steps of endodontic treatment done extraorally (commercial name and manufacturer- refer to similar published papers) 6. Mention about injection of anesthesia before replantation 7. Was the root surface treated before reimplantation? 8. What was the type and material of the splint used? 9. Was the radiographic technique standardized? 10. Were specific guidelines for reimpantation followed? Mention reference where needed 11. Were systemic antibiotics given to the patient? 12. Comment on the duration of splinting and follow up protocol, refer to international guidelines and provide reason for 4 week splinting 13. Mention and reason whether sensibility tests were done on adjacent teeth as baseline and during follow up 14. What was the treatment rendered for enamel and dentin fracture of the tooth in issue and adjacent tooth? Provide photographs for the same 15. Justify plan of action when resorption was noticed in 3 months. What was the treatment rendered during 6 month follow up? 16. The outcome of root resorption which is considered unfavorable has been identified and not addressed. <p>Discussion Elaborate on the unfavorable outcomes of avulsion and delayed reimplantation and management in a situation as the case report</p> <p>References Improper reference style- refer journal guidelines References at most places are not matching text and need revision</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Mention the type of study explicitly in the title, in this context, a case report.</p> <p>Screen for language and grammar</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Author advised to refer similar papers and follow internationally accepted guidelines for traumatic dental injuries. The case report is vaguely descriptive and needs to be reformed.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pooja Balgi
Department, University & Country	India