

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_92426
Title of the Manuscript:	Intramuscular Abdominal wall endometriosis: a case report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript needs extensive revision of the English used. For example: "Abdominal wall endometriosis, is the commonest site of extra pelvic disease, which physiopathology remains unclear." > Abdominal wall endometriosis is the most common site of extra-pelvic disease, the pathophysiology of which remains unclear. "The postoperative care were simple" > the postoperative period was uneventful. paraclinical exams > complementary studies "image of endometriotic image" > MRI study, axial section: presence of a nodule in the right anterior rectum, compatible with extra-pelvic endometriosis. The nomenclature of references needs revision. For example: [1.5.6] > [1,5,6] The text contains irrelevant data that should be revised and removed. For example: "married woman".</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In general terms, I believe that this case does not contribute much to the existing literature, being this an adequately known and characterized entity. The images provided are of low quality, without histologic images. The authors could enrich the paper by discussing some of the differential diagnosis, adding histologic images or presenting a longer case series.</p>	
Optional/General comments	I recommend adhering to the CARE guidelines to report clinical cases with higher quality.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Javier Arredondo Montero
Department, University & Country	Hospital Universitario de Navarra, Universidad de Navarra, Spain