

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_93062
Title of the Manuscript:	De Grouchy syndrome: An unusual presentation of severe short stature in type 1 diabetic children
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrmh.com/index.php/AJCRMH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Formatting uniformity require serious attention 2. Is this case report addresses only types 1 De grouchy syndrome? If so, remove unnecessary details of type 2 De grouchy syndrome. 3. Details of type 2 De grouchy syndrome really required????? 4. Rephrasing at a few points is required (have been mentioned as comments in case report manuscript) 5. Give briefly management plan of index case 6. Was growth hormone really required in the index case? 7. Major portion of discussion is comparing features related to18p with 18q deletion??? Any good justification??? 8. Conclusion must be more crisp 9. Standardize references writing 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Key words 2. De grouchy or de grouchy or de Grouchy ?? try to adopt uniformity 3. In-properly placed punctuations at many points 4. Few changes have been highlighted and mentioned in comments 5. Abbreviations must be mentioned as per standard protocol 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Why was there a delay in the diagnosis de grouchy syndrome? 2. Was the patient never followed up after diagnosis of diabetes mellitus? 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rafia Gul
Department, University & Country	Fatima Memorial Hospital, Pakistan