

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_92554
Title of the Manuscript:	RISK FACTORS AND DIAGNOSTIC CHALLENGES OF ABDOMINAL TUBERCULOSIS: A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrmh.com/index.php/AJCRMH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	As abdominal TB is a mime extraintestinal manifestations are always a challeng. Thus a paper on abdominal Tb is always useful. Nevertheless the text has various drawbacks. The text should be deminished in size and be more inclusive and easy to follow. As the diagnosis was mostly clinical it should be explained why it is not a non tuberculus mycobacterium. In the discussion it should be explained the difference between genders in Nigeria and other countries. Risk factors for TB should be analyzed and discussed the possible risk factors in current patient Significant weight loss and secondary immunodificiency might be one The diagnostic yield of various tests should be described in pulmonary and abdominal TB and the need for global assessment should be stressed. A few words about treatment should be added and possible explanations about treatment success despite repeating the same scheme Finally few words for differencial diagnosis of malignant ascites and abdominal tyberculosis should be added.	
Minor REVISION comments	The table might be split in two parts a clinical and a laboratory one	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Panagiotis Tsibouris
Department, University & Country	NIMTS General Hospital, Greece