

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_92882
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOCHEMISTRY OF CORONA VIRUS: AN OVERVIEW
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajbgb.com/index.php/AJBGMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	To the Author : the purpose of the study has not been well illustrated so that the conclusion is extracted not in accordance with the title of this article. The systematics of writing is still inconsistent with the templet of this journal. Research methodology clarified again	
Minor REVISION comments	The research methodology is not so clear that the explanation is not systematic The grouping of the review results is not focused so that the explanation given is not appropriate according to the journal you have collected	
Optional/General comments	The discussion of this paper has not focused on according to the variables raised, preferably grouped according to the order of the variables that you have, What kind of recommendations do you give to readers with the results of reviews that you have reviewed, It is better to correct this article first and then re-submit because this article reaches 33 pages and this is not allowed in a piece of writing that will be published.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Neila Sulung
Department, University & Country	University of Fort De Kock, Indonesia