

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_90087
Title of the Manuscript:	DEMOGRAPHIC IMPLICATIONS OF SERUM PROSTATE SPECIFIC ANTIGEN LEVEL DISTRIBUTION AMONG MEN IN TUDUN WADA JOS AND ITS ENVIRONS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajbgmb.com/index.php/AJBGMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. The English need improvement since there are some grammatical and syntax errors in the manuscript. For example, the word "seminal" may be as "the seminal"; "Increase" as "An increase"; "study was" as "study"; "using simple" as "using a simple"; "Blood" as "A blood"; "chemiluminescence" as "the chemiluminescence"; "on PSA" as "on the PSA"; "of seminal" as "of the seminal"; "an enzymatic" as "enzymatic"; "in pathological" as "in a pathological"; "and in" as "and"; "to lack" as "to the lack"; "helps in" as "helping in"; "resultant" as "a resultant"; "with Church" as "of the Church"; "Blood was" as "Blood were"; "sterile" as "a sterile"; "samples was" as "samples were"; "loaded in" as "loaded into"; "performed" as "was performed"; "volume were" as "volume was"; "to booth" as "to the booth"; "to attain working" as "attain the working"; "performed" as "was performed"; "was subjected" as "were subjected"; "only age" as "only the age"; "significant" as "a significant"; "with serum" as "with a serum"; "on frequency" as "on the frequency"; "a Balk" as "Balk"; "of American" as "of the American"; "to decrease" as "to a decrease"; "but there were" as "there was"; "education" as "the education"; "Similar" as "A similar"; "between those" as "among those"; "to decrease" as "to a decrease"; "frequency" as "the frequency"; "resulted to" as "resulted in"; "area on possible implication" as "areas on the possible implications". The grammar mistakes which are not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.</p> <p>2. There are some typing mistakes as well, and authors are advised to carefully proof-read the text. For example, For example, the words "Prostate specific" may be as "Prostate-specific"; "PSA level" as "PSA levels"; "Tudun wada" as "Tudun Wada"; "In addition" as "In addition,."; "condition such" as "conditions such"; "environ" as "environment"; "professions" as "professionals"; "cleancell" as "clean cell"; "was showed" as "was shown"; "levels increases" as "levels increase"; "pattern" as "patterns"; "knowledge based" as "knowledge-based"; "activity related" as "activity-related"; "impact in" as "impact on". The typos not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.</p> <p>3. In introduction, the data related with incidences and prevalence of prostate cancer may be included with recent updates.</p> <p>4. When referring to SPSS versions beginning from 19, authors should cite 'IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 26 (IBM Corp., Armonk, N.Y., USA)'. '.</p> <p>5. The authors have not mentioned about the ethical statement. If the authors have the ethical clearance and the consent of the patients, the same should be added in the materials and methods.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. Check the abbreviations throughout the manuscript and introduce the abbreviation when the full word appears the first time in the text and then use only the abbreviation (For example, prostate specific antigen (PSA), etc.). And it should be in both abstract as well as in the remaining part of the manuscript. Make a word abbreviated in the article that is repeated at least three times in the text, not all words need to be abbreviated.</p> <p>2. In conclusion, the authors may be included the limitation of the present findings and future direction for a better understanding of the manuscript.</p> <p>3. The references are not arranged properly in a uniform format and they should be carefully checked and corrected as per the journal instructions. For example, the journal name is not italic for reference number 9 and 10.</p>	
Optional/General comments	The authors have put effort to evaluate the "DEMOGRAPHIC IMPLICATIONS OF SERUM PROSTATE-SPECIFIC ANTIGEN LEVEL DISTRIBUTION AMONG MEN IN TUDUN WADA JOS AND ITS ENVIRONS". The authors describe the research undertaken with this in an organized manner, emphasizing the results obtained by them. The article needs modification for better cohesion of information to achieve the goal and the minor shortcomings which need to be considered. Hence, the paper can be accepted after MINOR REVISIONS are carried out.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. Vijaya Anand
Department, University & Country	Bharathiar University, India