

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_91983
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF GENETIC DIVERSITY FOR COTTON LEAF CURL DISEASE (CLCuD) AND QUALITATIVE TRAITS AMONG ELITE COTTON CULTIVARS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajbge.com/index.php/AJBGE/editorial-policy> )

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is good and it is clear that it adds value. Although it seems like a lot of work, the author/s did not value it enough. And I'm sure they can improve the current value. That is why, both on the manuscript and below, I have made some recommendations/modifications and suggestions in addition to the minor ones (which can only be found in the manuscript):</p> <p><b>AT ABSTRACT</b></p> <p>Related to the phrase: <i>This study was conducted to find out the genetic among 50 cotton varieties by using 10 SSR primers.</i> I think it should be formulated with something like: &lt;This study was conducted to find out the genetic diversity for cotton leaf curl disease among 50 cotton varieties by using 10 SSR primers.&gt;</p> <p><b>AT INTRODUCTION</b></p> <p>Before the last sentence of the Introduction, 1-2 sentences should be added related to genetic diversity and analysis in this sense because this is the purpose of the author/s study. And it is necessary to bring to attention other studies in the field with which to compare later in the discussions. Also, to add other sources that will be found in the final list of References. In fact, what is missing, or what has not been sufficiently studied, that further research is needed.</p> <p><b>At MATERIALS AND METHODS</b></p> <p>The part describing the material collected and used should be separated from the part describing the genetic analyses. Details of the material should be provided. In what conditions were the plants from which the leaves were collected maintained. Did all 50 varieties have the same conditions or were they different?</p> <p>The work methodology would be much improved if it provided an image of the work. For example 1-2 pictures of the collection or leaves from the relevant varieties. Optional.</p> <p>As a rule, abbreviations mentioned for the first time in manuscripts are detailed in a parenthesis. Then they can only be used as an abbreviation. So that any reader can understand what you are referring to. For example, it is not clear what PAGE means?</p> <p><b>At RESULTS</b></p> <p>Regarding: <i>Estimarea diversității genetice prin utilizarea markerilor SSR a fost discutată în studiile anterioare [12].</i> It is necessary to complete this sentence with some answers to the question: What studies are we talking about? They should continue with a description of these studies. That is, what others have found and how the authors see their own results in comparison with those from previous studies.</p> <p><b>To Figure 1</b> It is not clear if the diagram/figure belongs to the author/s or was it taken from another source? If it is from another source, then it should be mentioned under the figure and it would be good to have the usage agreement. Anyway, if it is not the original one, it should be taken out or made one of your own</p> <p><b>At CONCLUSION</b> Related to: <i>This study discovered the genetic diversity by using SSR</i> I think it is much more appropriate to use the term ...analyzed...instead of ...discovered...which is much too deep (it seems that you have discovered this kind of analysis for the first time).</p> <p><b>At REFERENCES</b></p>	

**Review Form 1.6**

	<b>They should add the references from the Introduction that I suggested earlier. Pay attention to keeping a unitary description; sometimes the full name of the journal is mentioned, sometimes only the abbreviation. Reference 13 seems unfinished, so the necessary information should be added.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ioana Grozea</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Banat's University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine "King Michael I of Romania" Timisoara, Romania</b>