

### **ASSESSMENT OF GENETIC DIVERSITY FOR COTTON LEAF CURL DISEASE (CLCuD) AND QUALITATIVE TRAITS AMONG ELITE COTTON CULTIVARS**

#### **ABSTRACT**

Cotton is a major fibrous cash crop and is cultivated in more than 80 countries because global textile industry depends on it. In Pakistan, the major factor reducing the cotton production is cotton leaf curl disease which is caused by a begomovirus, cotton leaf curl virus vectored through a whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci*. This disease shows a wide variety of symptoms including vein thickening, stunted plant growth, and cup-shaped outgrowth known as Enation. This study was conducted to find out the genetic diversity among 50 cotton varieties by using 10 SSR primers. DNA extraction was done by using CTAB method which was followed by DNA quantification through agarose gel to confirm its quantity. PCR and PAGE were performed to check the quality of DNA. Statistical analysis was performed by using Power marker v. 3.25. Primer NAU 2083 showed the maximum genetic diversity and PIC value of 0.8736 and 0.8621 respectively. The unweighted pair-group method analysis (UPGMA) generated the phylogenetic tree to divide the varieties into two clusters which represented the greater genetic diversity in three cotton varieties. These varieties can be utilized in future breeding programmes for cotton improvement.

Keywords: Cotton, CLCuD, Elite, Cultivars, Diversity

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L), a major fibrous cash crop and performs a crucial function in oil and forage industries [1]. It is also named as “White Gold” as its consumptions as lint and its end-products and foreign exchange earnings [2]. It is grown widely across the globe because of its economic status. In many cotton producing countries, cotton diligence is of great worth as it contributes to their GDPs [3]. The upland cotton is accountable for 90% of total world’s cotton production [4]. It has yearly production of over 20 million tons and is produced in >80 countries because worldwide textile diligence depends on both natural and artificial sources of fiber production [2]. In agronomic countries like Pakistan, cotton is a significant crop and it subsidizes 0.8% in Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and 4.5% in the agriculture division in Pakistan [4]. Pakistan is the 5<sup>th</sup> prevalent producer and the 3<sup>rd</sup> prevalent exporter of cotton throughout the entire globe [5]. Economy of Pakistan chiefly

depends on cotton fabrication because it is not only the basis of fiber but also offers vegetable oil and low-quality oil for soap diligence. Cotton crop is regarded as the king of fiber and cotton plant delivers 70-80% of natural fiber [2]. Cotton fibers are used for the production of nano-fibrillate cellulose materials via nano-technological appliances. Woven fabrics, foodstuffs, cosmetic and soap are also manufactured from cotton fiber [3]. Edible oil and seed cake are also acquired from cotton seeds [4]. Due to several biotic and abiotic causes, cotton production is reduced [6]. Cotton crop, the cultivated shrub, is susceptible to several pathogens and pests out of which the most destructive aspect is cotton leaf curl disease (CLCuD) [7]. CLCuD is caused by multiple begomoviruses which are vectored through the whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Zubair et al. 2021). Across Pakistan and northwestern India, cotton plants are destroyed by CLCuD causing drastic reduction in production [8]. The objective of study is to analyze the genetic diversity for Cotton Leaf Curl Disease among elite cotton cultivars.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Leaf samples of fifty cotton (*G. hirsutum*) varieties were gathered from Cotton Research Station and Central Cotton Research Institute Multan in the months of September and October (Table 1). Susceptible and resistant plants were selected according to the infection scale [9]. For assessment of genetic diversity for CLCuD and phenotypic data for selected traits was also measured. Fresh and young leaves were selected for genomic DNA extraction via Cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) method [1]. Extracted DNA was assessed to confirm its quantity through agarose gel electrophoresis. For the evaluation of genetic diversity, SSR primers were used to amplify 50 samples of cotton by using PCR. PAGE was executed to assess the PCR products.

**Table 1:** Names of 50 Cotton varieties

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Variety Name</b>	<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Variety Name</b>
<b>1</b>	AA 802	<b>26</b>	IUB 222
<b>2</b>	Barberton	<b>27</b>	LaOkr 541
<b>3</b>	BH 160	<b>28</b>	LB 391
<b>4</b>	Bt. CIM 602	<b>29</b>	MNH 329
<b>5</b>	CA 325 IRABLT	<b>30</b>	MNH 886
<b>6</b>	CEMB 33	<b>31</b>	NIAB 112
<b>7</b>	Chilala 76/2	<b>32</b>	NIAB 2009
<b>8</b>	CIM 1100	<b>33</b>	NIAB 2010
<b>9</b>	CIM 443	<b>34</b>	RH 112
<b>10</b>	CIM 446	<b>35</b>	S 14
<b>11</b>	CIM 448	<b>36</b>	S 32
<b>12</b>	CIM 473	<b>37</b>	Samaru 72
<b>13</b>	CIM 482	<b>38</b>	SI Okra1 23
<b>14</b>	CIM 499	<b>39</b>	Sitar 008
<b>15</b>	Cris 613	<b>40</b>	SLH 06
<b>16</b>	DP Acala 90	<b>41</b>	SLH 119
<b>17</b>	DPL NEW COTTON 33	<b>42</b>	SLH 12
<b>18</b>	FBS 30	<b>43</b>	SLH 13
<b>19</b>	FBS 37	<b>44</b>	SLH 317
<b>20</b>	FH 113	<b>45</b>	SLS 90/2
<b>21</b>	FH 87	<b>46</b>	SLS B7/175
<b>22</b>	FVH 53	<b>47</b>	TARZAN 1
<b>23</b>	GM 90	<b>48</b>	TARZAN 2
<b>24</b>	Gomal 105	<b>49</b>	VH 305
<b>25</b>	IR 3701	<b>50</b>	VH 363

## RESULTS

### Analysis of Allele number

For the estimation of genetic diversity among 50 cotton accessions, 10 SSR primers were used. The total 57 loci have an average of 5.7 loci per primer. Number of alleles on every primer ranges from 1-8. The total number of alleles amplified by these SSR primers was 57. Primers NAU 980 and BNL 827 exhibited maximum number of polymorphic bands while the minimum numbers of polymorphic bands were exhibited by the primers NAU 2273 and NAU 2437. Primers and their allele number are denoted in the Table 2.

### Calculating the Allele frequency, Genetic diversity and Polymorphism

Genetic diversity was evaluated by calculating the major allele frequency, polymorphism and allele number using power marker v 3.25. The mean value of genetic diversity was 0.4566 and in these SSR markers, the maximum genetic diversity was demonstrated by the primers NAU 2083 (0.8736) and NAU 2273 (0.6616) whereas the minimum genetic diversity was exhibited by the primers NAU 3414 (0.0000) and NAU 4042 (0.1512) represented by the Table 3.

Polymorphism of 10 SSR primers was finding out by calculating the PIC values. Mean PIC value of these primers was 0.4209. Maximum polymorphism was exhibited by the primers NAU 2083 and NAU 2273 having PIC values of 0.8621 and 0.5874 respectively whereas minimum polymorphism was exhibited by the primers NAU 3414 and NAU 4042 having PIC values of 0.0000 and 0.1471 respectively.

**Table 2** Primer, chromosome and allele number

Primers	Chromosome	Number of alleles
NAU 2083	17	7
NAU 2954	2	5
NAU 4042	4	7
NAU 3414	1	5
NAU 5046	5	6
NAU 2838	6	6
NAU 980	5	8

BNL 827	7	8
NAU 2273	3	2
NAU 2437	3	3
Total		57

**Table 3** Major Allele Frequency, Gene diversity and Polymorphism


Marker	Major.Alele.Frquency	AlleleNo	Gene Diversity	PIC
NAU 2083	0.2400	17.0000	0.8736	0.8621
NAU 2954	0.8400	2.0000	0.2688	0.2327
NAU 4042	0.9200	4.0000	0.1512	0.1471
NAU 3414	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
NAU 5046	0.5000	5.0000	0.6344	0.5738
NAU 2838	0.6000	6.0000	0.5856	0.5452
NAU 980	0.7600	5.0000	0.3944	0.3619
BNL 827	0.6400	7.0000	0.5552	0.5258
NAU 2273	0.3800	3.0000	0.6616	0.5874
NAU 2437	0.7000	3.0000	0.4408	0.3728
Mean	0.6580	5.3000	0.4566	0.4209

### Phylogenic tree

The unweighted pair group method (UPGM) was used for the assessment of genetic diversity among 50 cotton genotypes by using SSR primers. Nei and Li's 1973 bootstrap neighbor joining method generated the phylogenic tree. This phylogenic tree classified into

two main clusters A and B. Cluster A comprises of 8 cotton genotypes and classified into two sub-clusters L and M. Sub-cluster L contains two sub-sub clusters L1 and L2. Sub-sub cluster L1 denoted three cotton genotypes including LaOkr 541, IR 3701, and NIAB 2010. Sub-sub cluster L2 comprises two cotton genotypes SLH 119 and SLH 13. Sub-cluster M further differentiates into two sub-sub clusters M1 and M2. M1 denoted the one genotype CEMB 33 whereas M2 designated the two cotton genotypes TARZAN 1 and FH 113.

Cluster B comprises of 42 cotton genotypes and distinguished further into two sub-clusters D and E. Sub-cluster D distributed into two sub-sub clusters D1 and D2. Sub-sub cluster D1 indicated the two cotton genotypes NIAB 112 and AA 802 while D2 exhibited the only one genotype NIAB 2009. Sub-cluster E distinguished into two sub-sub clusters E1 and E2. E1 further classified into E1-a comprising three cotton genotypes FBS 30 and VH 305 and SLH 12 in a distinct group. E1-b contains three genotypes Samaru 72 in one group and the other two genotypes Sitar 008 and MNH 886 in a distinct group. E2-a comprises only one genotype LB 391 however E2-b further distributed into two groups E2-ba and E2-bb. E2-ba comprising 7 genotypes further distinguished into two groups. One group comprises four genotypes Gomal 105, DPL NEW COTTON 33 and SI Okra1 23 and TARZAN 2 in a distinct group. The second group comprises three genotypes BH 160, FBS 37 and Cris 613. E2-bb classified into two groups E2-bb1 and E2-bb2. E2-bb1 further classified into two groups. One group comprising 9 genotypes include S 32, CIM 446, DP Acala 90, CIM 482, Bt. CIM 602, FH 87 and an isolated group of SLH 317, RH 112, and MNH 329. The second group comprises two genotypes VH 363 and SLSB 7/175. E2-bb2 further distributed into two sub-groups. One sub-group comprising five genotypes including CIM 448, CIM 443, CIM 1100 and Barberton and the other S 14 in a distinct group. Second group of E2-bb2 again discriminated into two sub-sub groups. One sub-sub group comprises only 1 genotype CA 325 IRABLT and the other sub-sub group further distinguished into two groups one comprising three genotypes FVH 53, CIM 449 and SLS 90/2 and the other sub-sub group also contains three genotypes GM 90, CIM 473 and Chilala 76/2.



**Figure 1:** Phylogenetic tree of 50 cotton varieties

## DISCUSSION

Cotton is a substantial fibrous crop which donates in the textile diligence and also the basis of cotton seed. But cotton fabrication is in danger due to various biotic and abiotic reasons. Apart from the abiotic factors, Cotton leaf curl disease caused by *Begomovirus* and vectored through *B. tabaci* declines the cotton yield on the basis of severity of infection. Broad genetic diversity of genotypes can control such diseases [10]. Genetic diversity is defined as the total number of alleles with variable frequencies within a species. Genetic diversity arises due to alterations in the alleles of genetic makeup and is involved in the marker-aided

selection. SSR markers are used for the estimation of genetic diversity in cotton genotypes. These markers are co-dominant in nature and reveal accurate results [11]. Genetic variability for favorable traits is very little among elite cotton accessions. For the development of desirable cotton germplasm, SSR markers are utilized in the marker-aided selection [10].

In our study, the genetic diversity of 50 cotton genotypes was assessed by using ten SSR primers and total 57 alleles were amplified which ranged from 8 to 2 alleles with an average of 5.7 alleles per primer. Maximum numbers of polymorphic bands were characterized by the primers NAU 980 and BNL 827 whereas minimum numbers of polymorphic bands were demonstrated by the primers NAU 2273 and NAU 2437. In current study, 10 SSR primers were used to observe major allele frequency, genetic diversity and polymorphic information content (PIC) among 50 cotton genotypes. Our results revealed that maximum genetic diversity of 0.8736 and maximum PIC values of 0.8621 were presented by the primer NAU 2083. Estimation of genetic diversity by using SSR markers have been discussed in earlier studies [12].

Phylogenetic tree was generated by Nei and Li's 1973 bootstrap neighbor joining method to evaluate genetic diversity among 50 cotton varieties. This phylogenetic tree classified these 50 varieties into clusters and various sub-clusters to reveal the similarity index. Our results showed that DPL NEW COTTON 33 and Gomal 105 are closely linked and reside in the same group. Varieties CIM 446 and S 32 are also closely related and present in the same group. [13] reported the same results. Phylogenetic tree was constructed by UPGMA revealed genetic diversity among clusters. SSR primers are beneficial used for the genetic evaluation of cotton such as genetic diversity and marker-aided selection to choose desirable cotton varieties.

## **CONCLUSION**

This study discovered the genetic diversity by using SSR markers among selected cotton cultivars. From this study, it is find out that great genetic diversity is recognized in some genotypes of cotton. It is observed that greater genetic diversity is examined in genotypes Chilala 76/2, Cris 613 and LaOkr 541 as they are present on maximum distance in clusters. This assessment of genetic diversity in different cotton genotypes provides the opportunity

to the breeders to select parental lines for the production of new cotton genotypes with superior yield and quality.

## REFERENCES

1. Ahmed N, Amin I, Zaidi SS-E-A, Rahman SU, Farooq M, Fauquet CM, Mansoor S (2021) Circular DNA enrichment sequencing reveals the viral/satellites genetic diversity associated with the third epidemic of cotton leaf curl disease. *Biology Methods and Protocols* 6:bpab005.
2. Sarwar G, Nazir A, Rizwan M, Shahzadi E, Mahmood A (2021) Assessment of genetic diversity of cotton cultivars by using correlation and principal component analysis for CLCuD tolerance, yield and quality traits. *J. Agric. Res* 59:1-5.
3. Ozyigit II, Dogan I, Kaya Y, Bajrovic K, Gozukirmizi N (2022) Cotton biotechnology: An efficient gene transfer protocol via *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* for a greater transgenic recovery. *Journal of Natural Fibers* 1-15.
4. Sahar A, Zafar MM, Razzaq A, Manan A, Haroon M, Sajid S, Rehman A, Huijuan M, Ashraf M, Maozhi R (2021) Genetic variability for yield and fiber related traits in genetically modified cotton. *Journal of Cotton Research* 4:1-10.
5. Azam S, Rao AQ, Shad M, Ahmed M, Gul A, Latif A, Ali MA, Husnain T, Shahid AA (2021) Development of broad-spectrum and sustainable resistance in cotton against major insects through the combination of Bt and plant lectin genes. *Plant Cell Reports* 40:707-721. doi:10.1007/s00299-021-02669-6
6. Khan MaU, Shahid AA, Rao AQ, Shahid N, Latif A, Ud Din S, Husnain T (2015) Defense strategies of cotton against whitefly transmitted CLCuV and Begomoviruses. *Advancements in Life Sciences* 2:58-66.
7. Farooq T, Umar M, She X, Tang Y, He Z (2021) Molecular phylogenetics and evolutionary analysis of a highly recombinant begomovirus, Cotton leaf curl Multan virus, and associated satellites. *Virus evolution* 7:veab054.
8. Zubair M, Zaidi SS-E-A, Shakir S, Farooq M, Amin I, Scheffler JA, Scheffler BE, Mansoor S (2017) Multiple begomoviruses found associated with cotton leaf curl disease in Pakistan in early 1990 are back in cultivated cotton. *Scientific reports* 7:1-11.
9. K, Haidar S, Khan M, Ahmad M, Sarwar N, Murtaza M, Aslam M (2010) Evaluation of *Gossypium* species for resistance to cotton leaf curl Burewala virus. *Annals of applied biology* 157:135-147.
10. Abbas A, Iqbal MA, Rahman M-U, Paterson AH (2015) Estimating genetic diversity among selected cotton genotypes and the identification of DNA markers associated with resistance to cotton leaf curl disease. *Turkish Journal of Botany* 39:1033-1041.
11. Çelik S (2022) Genetic Diversity Analysis of Some Upland Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) Genotypes Using SSR Markers. *Türk Doğa ve Fen Dergisi* 11:80-89.
12. Ali I, Khan NU, Gul S, Khan SU, Bibi Z, Aslam K, Shabir G, Haq HA, Khan SA, Hussain I (2019) Genetic diversity and population structure analysis in Upland cotton Germplasm. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology* 22:669-676.
13. Rehman A, Iqbal M (2022) Genome-wide Allelic Variations and Population Structure Analysis of Cotton Genotypes using SSR Markers. *Pakistan Journal of Biochemistry and Biotechnology* 3:

UNDER PEER REVIEW