

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Bioresource Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJB2T_92630
Title of the Manuscript:	PRODUCTION AND OPTIMIZATION STUDIES OF PROTEASE BYSOIL ISOLATE Actinomycetes USING MIXED SUBSTRATE OF POMEGRANATE PEEL POWDER AND BANANA PEELPOWDER UNDER SOLID STATE FERMENTATION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajb2t.com/index.php/AJB2T/editorial-policy>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The English of this manuscript <b>MUST</b> be improved. Many of its grammatical structure are wrongly written.</li> <li>2. All spelling must be double checked e.g....line 12 (synthesise), 21 (substratre) from the abstract and throughout the manuscript.</li> <li>3. Units are not presented in standard form...e.g ml should be 'mL' gms should be 'g' and so on.</li> <li>4. The aim of this research must be clearly stated in the last statement of the introduction.</li> <li>5. Section 2.1, 2.2, 2.6 should be merged as one</li> <li>6. (Gauri Hulawale et al.,[3]).....line 60, is this the way to cite? Check throughout the manuscript. Also check the journal instructions</li> <li>7. Where is the statistical analysis of this results?</li> <li>8. This sentence in Lines 65-70 is not necessary here pls. delete or take to within the discussion</li> <li>9. The results are weak and must be improved.....titles should be rewritten e.g.....section 3.1, 3.2 should be ....effect of time on fermentation...effect of temperature on the fermentation....these can be merged as one figure please.</li> <li>10. The author assayed for enzyme and did not give any result in this line.....not good.</li> <li>11. Was the actinomycetes isolated and characterised? Was this identified? How? If Yes there should be a species of this organism in this category.</li> <li>12. At least there must be a table in this manuscript. Maybe the characterisation of the organisms</li> <li>13. Values of the data obtained must be a replicate one and therefore mean and SEM must be analysed and this must be the value to be presented in the result section.</li> <li>14. Unlimited comments but let the author takes care of the above-mentioned points. Referenced are not well cited and listed</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Keywords should be written clearly 'and' should be used properly</li> <li>2. Should the word actinomycetes be italicized or not? Be consistent</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	<b>Sesan Abiodun Aransiola</b>
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	<b>Nigeria</b>