

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_91640
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPLORING THE IMPACT OF CO-OPERATIVE LEARNING APPROACH ON STUDENTS ACHIEVEMENTS IN SOLVING WORD PROBLEMS INVOLVING FRACTIONS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The content of the study calls for: Effects of grouping methods in cooperative learning approach on student achievement in solving word problems involving fractions. Note: Exploring the impact calls for a qualitative research approach which involves monitoring. Consistency of terms used must be observed. i.e. Traditional or conventional? Performance or achievement? Co-operative or cooperative? Six or five volunteers (why)? Purposive or simple random sampling? Quasi-experimental design involves two intact groups (sections) and comparability must be established. Lacks TOS, Item analysis and detailed discussion on the pilot study. Intervention must be specific and detailed. ANOVA for two groups? ?? Maybe the group size on the cooperative learning approach was considered... a group of 2 , 3 , 4 or 5???? Research questions must be revised. i.e <ol style="list-style-type: none"> What is the achievement level....(group size) Is there a difference on the mean score... What is the gain scores ... Is there a difference on the mean gain scores... What insights can be drawn from... Lacks research hypothesis 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Douglas A. Salazar
Department, University & Country	Mindanao State University, Iligan Institute of Technology, Philippines