

## Review Form 1.6

### KEY.

Red highlight = Delete

Red text = observation and suggestion

Blue text = Correction

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_91275
Title of the Manuscript:	AWARENESS AND ACCEPTABILITY OF THE VISION, MISSION, GOALS, AND OBJECTIVES OF CARLOS HILADO MEMORIAL STATE COLLEGE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<i>With the Results and Discussion section, no part of the result is discussed. It is essential that the results are discussed with respect to the objectives of this research and comparison with findings from other similar research studies.</i>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<i>Topics and sub-headings of sections and Tables should be as short as possible In the presentation of the results, the Table should come after the written presentation and the table be referred to in the text e.g. (See Table X) It suffices to quote only the Means of the data obtained when the result is presented in the text without the S.D. The details can always be obtained in the Table.</i>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<i>It is conventional to cite authority in the report of studies using numeral e.g. [1]. References is subsequently compiled in ascending order.</i>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aderemi Moses Adewale
Department, University & Country	Alvan Ikoku Federal College of Education, Nigeria