

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJAHR_91951</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Bulb Size on Flowering and Bulb Production of Tuberose (<i>Polianthes tuberosa</i> L.) cv. Single</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJAHR/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Details on the research methodology should have explained exactly.</li><li>- Characteristics of the study area Study area is of high importance for the addressee to understand the spatial effects on the context of the research."Parameters measured" and "Statistical analysis" sections as separated parts could have been considered as a whole and mentioned in Results and Discussion Section so that it can entirely generate an integrated context. But when they are mentioned as separated small parts it seems it hasn't got the power to generate a reliability.</li><li>- "Methodology" section seems to be helpful and of course frankly and logically speaking needed.</li><li>- References are too old and the author should have used more recent and up to date resources in order to help the status and grade of the scientific article to increase.</li><li>- The author should add some high quality and up to date articles to the manuscript.</li></ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Bijan Yavar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Allameh Tabataba'i University, Iran</b>