

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_93227
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge of farmers regarding improved tomato cultivation practices in Mokokchung district of Nagaland.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The author should include the country in the title. The same goes for the abstract. What is the significance of the study and the problem being addressed? Why should I keep reading? The author should highlight these aspects in the abstract. The keywords are irrelevant, the author should follow the journals' guidelines upon selecting them. Tomatoes are fruits because they have seeds and grow from flowers. The general use of this crop confuses them as vegetables. I advise the author to refer to them as (crop, edible harvest, or fruit) 'A tomato a day keeps doctors away'. REALLY? As far as I know, its an old English proverb that encourages the public to consume APPLES not TOMATOES. Don't come up with facts to serve your own purpose. The author should include the risks that face the tomatoes such as mold, fungus, and bacterial contamination, and their possible cause and means to prevent them. What is DHO? Why there are no statistical graphs that represent the results? for this study the mean and SD value is significant. The author did not cross-reference the tables. The manuscript requires proof-reading. 	
Minor REVISION comments	I advice the author to use citation tools, such as Mendeley or Zotero	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nada Basheir Ali
Department, University & Country	University Putra Malaysia, Malaysia