

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_93109
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of COVID-19 on the production of farmers in Raipur district of Chhattisgarh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract: Background: "challenges across but" – across what? Tables 2 and 5 – there is a division according to farm size but it is not explained anywhere in the paper. Moreover, the farm structure in the study area should be presented. Lack of the explanation of the division and of the characteristic of farms in the study area make it impossible to understand the importance of the results of the study. In the chapter 2. Materials and methods the only mention of the materials is a sentence "Both primary and secondary data were used to inform this study". This is a serious shortcoming of the paper and it makes it impossible to verify the validity of the findings. The primary and secondary sources must be described in detailed. In the case of primary data all the characteristics of the farms (size and crop specialisation) and farmers (sex, age group and level of education) must be presented.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	In the title and keywords it would be useful to add "India" as this would help the researchers looking for papers on Indian agriculture to find this paper in the data bases.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Barbara Wieliczko
Department, University & Country	Institute for Rural and Agricultural Development, Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland