

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_92752
Title of the Manuscript:	Management practices of Third Sector Organisations (TSOs) in Karnataka
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The research dealt with the topic in a sound scientific way, good and suitable for publication, with more references related to the topic if possible	
Optional/General comments	The research is good and suitable for publication, and the proper scientific method was used in selecting the sample and comparison, and it is also good in drawing conclusions, and it is a research that deals with a good topic suitable for publication, considering: 1- I would like to further extract and interpret the results in agreement with the numbers in the sample form. The research is valid for publication and has no scientific or grammatical errors	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sarhan Ahmed Soliman
Department, University & Country	Agriculture Economy Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Egypt