



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>1. It is very brief</p> <p>2. It does not have coherent materials and methods</p> <p>3. The references review section or references review(introduction) is not well reviewed at all</p> <p>4. The obtained results have not been compared with other up-to-date results in the world, so that the user can be more sure of the correctness of the results.</p> <p>5. The conclusion is not well stated</p> <p>6. The resources used are very limited and old</p>	<p>Material added</p> <p>More details added</p> <p>Very less work has been done on pests of soybean so less material available</p> <p>Very less work done on soybean pests in world</p> <p>Tried to cover all the parameters</p> <p>Very less work has been done on pests of soybean</p>