

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_92579
Title of the Manuscript:	Adoption of Animal Welfare Practices by Selected Gaushalas (Cow-Shelters) in the State of Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Although it is an interesting article, all elements of scientific review and critical analysis of available data are missing.</li> <li>2. It <b>lacks</b> more <b>scientific data and updated references</b>. To my opinion, there should be more updated references on the census of gaushalas, private and public gaushalas.</li> <li>3. Old livestock census is given in the introductory part, please <b>update it with the 20<sup>th</sup> Livestock census</b>.</li> <li>4. In the part of Result and Discussion, adoption level of animal welfare practices in gaushalas, the results can also be <b>compared with gaushalas of other states</b>, what are the differences and unique practices in Karnataka state in the adoption level with different states. This can also be discussed as comparison between <b>private and public gaushalas</b>. So that it gives more scientific data.</li> <li>5. The references used for discussion should be from <b>recently updated research papers</b>.</li> <li>6. <b>Follow the reference style of this journal</b>. Please recheck the format of reference no. 5. The name of the journals mentioned in the reference should be in the short form and does not required the month and date of the publication. Please check the reference no.7,9,10,13,14,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,25,26,27,28,29.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In Introduction paragraph, 3<sup>rd</sup> line "It focuses mostly.....stray cattle". This line found to have some grammatical mistakes.</li> <li>2. Lines 15- 17: "Globally a great deal of focus.....present situation". Incorrect sentence. The line doesn't make any sense, rewrite in a simple form.</li> <li>3. Line 34-36: Therefore, animals must be raised .....slaughtering practices". Authors need to define the term welfare standard, some of the welfare standard practices are mentioned only in the table 2, It will be better if you mention in the paragraph.</li> <li>4. The paper doesn't mention anything about the <b>cost analysis or expenses required for</b> the feed management, treatments and other expenses. It is better to mention so that it could bring an insight about the changes in the adoption level in animal welfare practices.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I appreciate the authors for the recommendation given to the stakeholders for the growth of gaushalas, but there is a little explanation. It should be improved with more details.</li> <li>2. More references and meaningful scientific researches should be included in the introductory part with the recent updates and references.</li> <li>3. More comparative studies with different states &amp; between private and public gaushalas should be discussed.</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hency Rose</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Banaras Hindu University, India</b>