

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_92515
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Improved Groundnut Varieties on Dietary Diversity among Small holder Households in Homa Bay County, Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In the abstract, author has mentioned two groups of farmers such as, Improved groundnut farmers and local varieties groundnut farmers. But such classification is not clearly stated in the part of methodology. And such classification seems like that this study should be done comparative base but this study is not so far. Hence, author has to check it and rectify such a conflict.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Completion and continuation of sentence is mismatching (eg. 4th line of Second paragraph under introduction). Author has to check it throughout the study and rectify the issues.2. Number of particular reference is cited after in the sentence. I suggest to give at one at the end of paragraph if entire lines based on the cited reference. (Eg. 1st paragraph under introduction, check the issue throughout the study and make it clear).3. Suggestion must be based on each findings of the data analysis. So, I suggest author may strengthen the paper with some more effective suggestions.	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Author has taken a congratulatory work which is so essential to the study area.2. Analytical part is to be appreciated.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	T. Amose
Department, University & Country	Madurai Kamaraj University, India