

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_92214
Title of the Manuscript:	SHRIMP FARMING IN THE NORTH EASTERN COASTAL PLAIN ZONE OF ODISHA: A MICRO-ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract too long... please summarize based on:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Issue 2) Objective 3) Methodology 4) Main result 5) Recommendation/ policy implication <p>The author did not discuss the recommendation in abstract Introduction – please put the citation or sources for the first paragraph “In the current experiment, we analyze shrimp farming, its return on investment, cost structure, and factors that affect yield in order to sustain shrimp farming for future demands.” – put the sentence in the previous paragraph. – 1 paragraph should have a minimum of 5 sentences. The authors don't include a literature review the n article/ writing Please rewrite the methodology section since not follow the standard of the journal. Please state the methodology with sources. The sample in this instance is broken down into three age groups: young (18-35), medium (36-50), and old (>50). --- Medium or middle age? Which one? Please rewrite the result and don't copy the output from SPSS. Please come out with your own table.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohd Khairi Bin Ismail
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia