

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_91959
Title of the Manuscript:	Socio-economic and Communicational Status of Tasar Silkworm Rearers in Bastar District of Chhattisgarh in India (change)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author's need to briefly describe the aim, research objectives, research questions, research contributions and recommendations of the study. Also, the author's need to stated the literature reviews. The author's need to clearly on the research methodology method. More elaborations on the research design/ sampling design, data collections, data instruments, pilot test and types of analysis. The elaborations on the research findings also not clearly. Please checked the output that relate with the data analysis to support the research objectives . More justify and elaborate on it. (All comments are stated in red colour of texts)	
Minor REVISION comments	The author's need to rechecked all the data result and the explanations. The author's need to relate the research findings with the literature review by other scholars'. The author's need to clearly the techniques of academic writing on result & research findings. Some explanations was ambiguous and refer to the citation of other scholar's (All comments are stated in red colour of texts)	
Optional/General comments	There are some errors on the writing of the references. Use the APA format.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	HJ. Mustafa Bin Dakian
Department, University & Country	Universiti Tun Abdul Razak, Malaysia