

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_91836
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDY ON EXTENT OF EXTENSION AGENCY CONTACT AMONG THE RURAL YOUTH OF ANDHRA PRADESH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the introduction section, the motivations of the study are not well presented. Why did the authors use the proposed method? What are the contributions of the study? All the answers to these questions must be highlighted in the introduction. 2. What are the existing solutions in the most relevant literature and their drawbacks or issues? How does the newly proposed model address these issues? 3. The literature reviews should be supported to understand the correlation of presented research results with the state of the art of this research field. 4. What are the main criteria for data selection and time period of research? 5. What are the disadvantages of your approach? What are the limitations of your research? 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 7. Please confirm the validity of Table 2. 8. The results in Table 4 should be interpreted. Numbers should be analyzed. 9. I suggest enhancing final section (Conclusion) to better explain because the key results of the research should be expressed in more detail. The directions of future research should be stated in the last section. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mojtaba Sedighi
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran