

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_91746
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSTRAINTS FACED BY FARMERS IN USE OF PRIVATE BIO-FERTILIZERS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Title: The title is informativeAbstract: The abstract is adequately addressedIntroduction: The introduction is concise, and clearMaterials and Methods: The methods section is currently addressed.Results: The results are clearly presented.Discussion: The discussion is well written and adequately addressed.The manuscript is well written. The purpose, methods and results are clearly presented.Conclusions: The conclusion is very conclusive, since based on a small sample size.(Appropriate and adequate references to related works covered sufficiently in the list).	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Tables: The titles of the tables should be more informative. They are vital and quite well.Tables are significant and well-presented.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This is well and acceptable, and it contributes to the Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology in a valuable way.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Bakiyaraj
Department, University & Country	Government Arts College, India