

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJAEES_91715</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Adoption Level of Rural Women about Storage Structure and Practices for Storing Grains</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The theme approached in this article falls into the topic of <b>Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</b>.                      The organization of the article is scientific standpoint correct.                      The sections of the article are judicious used for the presentation, definition and clarification of the analyzed issues. There is a logic thread of the presentation.                      The used language is scientifically correct and it can be relatively easily read and understood. The style and expression clear and coherent, no grammatical or expression mistakes.                      The <b>References</b> section of the article contains only 11 bibliographical sources. The citation of the bibliographical sources is made according to the requirements. But the most recent source dates from 2010, so it is 12 years old. Only 4 sources are published after the year 2000. So the age of the bibliographic sources is very high. It is noted that not all the works indicated in the <b>References</b> section are cited in the contents of the paper.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>It is necessary to number the chapters of the paper.                      An appropriate space must be left between any two chapters.                      In the Introduction chapter that deals with the current state of the studied issue, not all bibliographic sources are mentioned.                      An inconsistency (non-uniformity) is found in the indentation of the text.                      The three formulas used must be numbered and written centered on the page.                      The term "where" from the explanation of the terms used in the formulas must be written with a lowercase letter.                      On page 6 instead of "r = correlation in coefficient" it must be written "r = correlation coefficient" (without the preposition "in").                      The title of Table 3 must end without the punctuation mark ".".                      On page 8 it is necessary to reformulate the sentence: "Table 4 it is clear that ...".                      The values of probability level at Table 5 must be expressed in percentages.                      On page 10 in the sentence "Table 7. Focuses that..." it must be written "Table 7 focuses that..."</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Blajina Ovidiu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University Politehnica of Bucharest, Romania</b>