

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_91324
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Progress of Kisan Credit Card Scheme in Haryana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	KCC, CAGR, RRB abbreviations should be clarified. In the method, it should be explained why the data is converted to log form. There are repeated lines in the section 3.1 Agency-wise Progress of Kisan Credit Card scheme in Haryana. 3.2.1 Progress of KCC scheme with respect to number of cards issued in Haryana should be standard in writing numbers. The total values in the tables should be reviewed. The places I painted yellow should be reviewed. The conclusion section should be expanded by using the data in accordance with the purpose of the study.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ismail Ukav
Department, University & Country	Adiyaman University, Turkey