

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_90802
Title of the Manuscript:	The impact of poverty in parents and caregiver's participation towards community child wellbeing activities among the pastoral communities of Baringo, Marsabit, Turkana and West Pokot in Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Article Type: Research Paper</p> <p>The paper is good in general, the sequence of ideas is consistent and written good, the research questions are clear, the research methodology and findings of the researcher are good.</p> <p>The references used by the author are good, also, the ideas in the article.</p> <p>I will rate this paper 85%</p> <p>More Details:</p> <p>The approach of this research is well justified.</p> <p>The research objectives are clear and well presented.</p> <p>The "Related work" contains references to relevant articles for the approached targets.</p> <p>The proposal contains the original contributions that extend the knowledge of the field.</p> <p>The methodology is correct and can be applied in practical applications. I did not detect flaws in the methodology.</p> <p>The article is well written, and it is understandable. The references are relevant and well chosen. It has a good structure.</p> <p>The proposed methods are well explained. The included table, diagrams and figures help the understanding.</p> <p>The method well-chosen and led to results that prove the correctness of the proposed methods.</p> <p>The conclusions are sustained by the results.</p> <p>In my opinion, the article is good and deserves publication, as it will contribute to scientific progress in the field, I recommend this revised paper for publication.</p> <p>Please accept my utmost respect and appreciation</p> <p>Best Regards</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yousef Methkal Abd Algani
Department, University & Country	The Arab Academic College for Education in Israel, Israel