

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_92272
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic analysis of fish production in Dhangadhimai municipality of Siraha district, Nepal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaar.com/index.php/AJAAR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Very strong need to include a section in Literature review on this theme. The author(s) should bring out clearly the theoretical and empirical foundations of the research. In doing they will bring out the state of the art on this theme.</li><li>2. The author(s) need to state a key research question</li><li>3. The discussion of the results needs to be revisited. The discussion of the results needs to relate the findings of this present study to similar studies in Nepal or elsewhere in the world bringing out clearly where the findings are similar and where they differ and why.</li><li>4. The policy implications need to be well articulated</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Syntax (I have rephrased some of the sentences and there may be need to do in the entire manuscript</li><li>2. The manuscript needs to be completely review to ensure that English expressions are standard and convey a meaning of what they author(s) intend saying or communicating</li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The research is original and good but needs a section on review of relevant literature on the subject matter. This will add more value to the quality of the paper and even make it more scientific	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muluh George Achu
Department, University & Country	University of Dschang, Cameroon