

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_91933
Title of the Manuscript:	The effect of Ca(OH) ₂ and sugar on characteristics of long beans sweet
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaar.com/index.php/AJAAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors provide a work about Investigation of The effect of Ca(OH)₂ and sugar on characteristics of long beans sweet It is a simple article but very well explained, it indicates a clear, basic methodology and results according to it. Important results about of long beans sweet. Very well contrasted with similar and updated publications. It would be important to explain how you determined the brightness</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Please decide write between Ca(OH)₂ or Ca (OH)₂ throughout the text In figure 1 please change concentration of sugar for Concentration of sugar In figure 2 please change moisture content for Moisture content</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>In my opinion, sugar concentration causes the color of sweet long beans to be darker should be explained more extensively since the manuscript is based on that central aspect. Conclusions are very important and explain the calcium hydroxide effect on sugar concentration, reducing sugar, moisture content, acidity and brightness level (L*), texture and overall acceptance. Originality of the manuscript is considered.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Norma Margarita de la Fuente Salcido
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autónoma de Coahuila, México