Original Research Article

Impact of Pre-Anthesis Salt Stress onBiochemical and Yield related traits in Salt sensitive and Salt tolerant genotypes of *Triticum aestivum L.*

Abstract

Salinity stress negatively affects the growth and development of wheat leading to diminished grain yield and quality. Salt stress during the reproductive stage is one of the significant factors leading to the drastic reduction in grain yield. The objective of this study was to investigate the biochemical responses of pre-anthesis stage salt stress and yield-related~~yield related~~ traits in the KRL1-4 salt tolerant and UP2338 salt-sensitive~~salt sensitive~~ cultivar of w~~W~~heat. Three different levels of salinity stress (100, 200 and 300 mM NaCl) were~~was~~ induced. Untreated plants were kept in~~as~~ control. Samples were analyzed at pre- anthesis stage (50 DAS and 60 DAS)forvariousbiochemicalparameters viz.,prolinecontent,totalreducingsugarcontent,totalnitrogencontentandtotalproteincontent.Yield-related~~Yield related~~ traits harvest index, tiller numbers per plant, spike height and spike weight were recorded at the maturity stage.Theamountofprolineandreducingsugarincreasedwithincreasingsalinity,theincrease beingmore~~in~~tolerantthaninsensitivecultivar.Totalnitrogenandtotalproteincontent, however,decreasedwithincreasingsaltconcentrationandreductionbeingmore~~in~~sensitive than in tolerant cultivar. Yield attributes were affected negatively. The effect was more pronounced in sensitive cultivars~~cultivar~~ compared to tolerant ones.

Keywords- Salinity, pre-anthesis, osmolytes, harvest index

Introduction

Soil salinity is a major threat to crop yields, especially in arid and semi-arid countries where irrigation is an essential aid to agriculture ~~(~~(Liu et al., 2020). 20% of global cultivable land is affected by salt stress.Changes~~Change~~ in climate and anthropogenic activities are increasingly affecting ~~the~~ arablelands (Arora, 2019). The stress created by high salt concentration in the soil is attributed to early osmotic stress and sodium and chloride ion

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt stress that appears late significantly reducing plant growth and development (Odjegba, 2013). Osmotic stress immediately affects growth because of the salt (Singh, 2022). Plant response towards salinity stress depends upon many factors like concentration of salts, variety of plant, growth stage and environmental conditions Reproductive age of any crop is the most sensitive stage to salinity stress(Ehtaiwesh and Rashed, 2020), and causes~~cause~~a massive reduction in yield in wheat (Kalhoro et al., 2016).

Wheat, rice, and maize are the most important staple crops globally and contribute a significant part of daily calorie~~calories~~ and protein intake (Kizilgeci et al., 2021). Among the cereals, *Triticum aestivum*is ranked in~~at~~ the first position due to its domestication and contribution as the primary staple food crop globally (Iqbal et al., 2021). Wheat has been reported to provide 73% of the calorie and protein requirements of the daily diet (Arif et al., 2010). It plays an important role in food security and poverty alleviation as a strategic crop and has an important role in the economy (Khan et al., 2011).

The unavailability~~Unavailability~~ of sufficient photoassimilates~~photo assimilates~~ during the pre-anthesis stage is the leading cause of loss in production in wheat~~wheats~~. Plants develop a large number of physiological and biochemical strategies to cope with stresses (Liang et al, 2018). The response to osmotic stress primarily involves osmotic adjustments. Osmotic adjustment is crucial for cell turgor maintenance, which maintainsplant metabolic activity and in turn plant growth and productivity (Yue et al., 2012). Proline, soluble sugars, glycine, betaine, and other osmolytes synthesized by plants to promote osmotic balance can serve as sensitive markers for the selection of tolerant genotypes under salt stress (Kerepesi and Galiba, 2000).

The present study was performed to determine the salt tolerance for two wheat cultivars during pre- anthesis stage and to examine the changes inbiochemical and yield parameters. The biochemical markers can be incorporated into high-yielding~~high yielding~~salt-tolerant~~salt tolerant~~ wheat varieties.

Material and Methods

The field experiment was conducted at the Department of Botany, D.D.U. Gorakhpur University during the w~~W~~heat growing period (Nov.-Feb 2009)

Healthy grains of wheat (salt tolerant KRL 1-4 and salt-sensitive~~salt sensitive~~ UP 2338 cultivars) were surface sterilized with ethanol for 5 min followed by a thorough wash with distilled water. Seeds were obtained from Narendra Dev Agriculture University, Faizabad Grains were then inoculated with 96 h grown culture of Azotobacter (culture of Azotobacter chrococcum was obtained from the Department of Agriculture and Co-operation, National Biofertilizer Development Centre Ghaziabad, U.P.)

The inoculated seeds were sown in earthenware pots containing sterilized sand. These pots were treated with saline water containing 100mM, 200mM, and 300mM NaCl respectively and corresponding E.C. was maintained as 9.83, 21.9 and 32.5 dS/m respectively. Plants were supplied with Hoagland's nutrient solution weekly. Water was applied to each pot daily to keep the sand moist and hence to maintain the salt level.Untreated plants were kept in~~as~~ control. All the biochemical parameters were recorded atthe pre-anthesis stage (50and 60 DAS) for various treatments and yield parameters were recorded post harvesting.

Biochemical parameters

Proline content was estimated by the method of Bates et al. (1973). Estimation of total reduction~~reducing~~ sugar was done by the method of Somogyi's (1952). Determination of insoluble and total nitrogen was done by the method of Doneen (1932). For measurement of protein content, the amount of insoluble nitrogen fraction as obtained bythe micro-Kjeldahl digestion method was multiplied by a factor of 6.25. Relative water content was measured by Barr and Weatherly (1962).

Yield Parameters

Plants of different saline treatments were harvested at 110 DAS. Data on wheat harvest index, tiller numbers per plant, spike height and spike weightwere recorded. Spikes were oven-dried at 70 1 °C for 72 h and their dry weights were determined. Tiller numbers per plant were recorded from 5 randomly chosen plants. Spike weight per plant was recorded from 5 randomly chosen plants.

Thedatahavebeenstatisticallyanalyzed.The least~~Least~~significant~~significance~~difference(LSD)hasbeencalculatedforthedatawhereF-test wasfoundsignificant.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, English (India), Character scale: 100%

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, English (India), Character scale: 100%

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, English (India), Character scale: 100%

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, English (India), Character scale: 100%

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Result and Discussion

Comment [MOU1]: Results and discussion section contains lot of references, as it's more like literature review, try to add your own results and remove extra data and references.

For better yield and growth at the pre-anthesis stage leaf osmotic potential is of paramount importance. During salinity stress plants accumulate organic osmolytes for osmotic adjustments.In the current study, proline increased gradually with increasing salt concentration. The increment was more intolerant~~in tolerant~~ cultivar KRL1-4 than sensitive cultivar UP2338. However, proline content decreased at 60DAS in both the cultivars at all salt concentrations~~concentration~~ (Fig.1a and ~~&~~1b)

Our findings are in consideration with previous work of Sairam et al., (2002) in wheat genotypes. Sehrawat et al., (2013) reported that the accumulation of osmolytes (proline and trehalose) increased significantly with an increase in salinity in all the genotypes but the tolerant genotypes showed a greater increase in these osmolytes under salinity than the susceptible genotypes ofmungbean.Hasanetal., (2015) reported an increase inflag leaf proline content in salt tolerant wheat genotypes under salt stress. while a decrement was observed in sensitive genotypes as compared to control plants.

Reducing sugar followed the same trend as that of proline (Fig. 2a and~~&~~ 2b). Zheng et al.,(2009) found that sugar content increased in wheat under salt stress.High carbohydrate concentrations under salt stress prevent plants from oxidative damage and also maintain the structure of proteins (Hajihashemi etal, 2006). Confirmed with our data, other reports are indicating~~there are other reports indicating~~ that the soluble carbohydrate~~carbohydrates~~ content increased in response to salt stress, especially in tolerant varieties (Areftan et al., 2014).The decrease in reducing sugar at the advanced stage is due tothe unavailability of sufficient photo-assimilates caused by salt stress during the pre-anthesis and grain filling stage.Alteration of sucrose 1-fructosyltransferase, sucrose: fructan6-fructosyltransferase, and fructanexohydrolase hampers the fructan accumulation, and remobilization of carbohydrates~~carbohydrate~~ to grains (Sharbatkhari et al., 2016).

Salt in soil water affects available soil water, tissue water, cell turgidity, water potential and osmolytes. In our study relative water content declined significantly with induction and duration of salt stress. The decline~~Decline~~ in relative water content was lower in salt tolerant cultivar KRL1-4 as compared to sensitive cultivar UP2338 (Fig. 3a and~~&~~ 3b). Our results are in agreement with those of Sairam et al.,(2002) and Zheng et al., (2009) who reported a greater reduction in RWC of salt-sensitive~~salt sensitive~~ wheat cultivar as compared with tolerant one under salt stress. It was proposed that retention of higher RWC was associated with higher proline accumulation in the tolerant cultivar.InAtthe reproductive phase, reduction in water potential reduces cell elongation, leaf area and hence productivity (Farouk et al., 2011).

Nitrogen and Protein content decreased with increasing levels of salinity.Yousfi et al., 2013 reported that nitrogen metabolism and stomatal limitation at the anthesis stage are major causes of reduction in biomass under different salinity regimes. In the current study, the decrement was more in sensitive than in tolerant cultivarscultivar (Fig. 4a, &4bB, Fig. 5a,and&5b).Kaya et al., 2009 reported salinity stress can decrease N concentration.Upadhyaya et a1.,2007reported that protein content decreased under Hydrogen peroxide oxidative stress in rice.

Subjecting wheat plants to salt stress had a negative effect on agronomic traits like harvest index (Fig.6), spike height (Fig. 7), spike weight (Fig.8)and tiller number (Fig. 9). However, the impact was more pronounced on UP2338 as compared to KRL1-4.A significantSignificant decrease was observed on 60DAS at a higher concentration of salt stress.Mass and Grieve,1990 suggested salinity causescausea decrease in thenumber of spikelet primordia and early anthesis.Our results are is in accordance with Tareq et al., (2011) who suggested 8,3, 37, 20 and 10% reduction in spike length, spike weight, filled spike let per plant, total spikelet per plant and weight respectively under stress conditionscondition. Eroglu et al., (2020) reported that salt stress at pre-anthesispre anthesis and post-anthesispost anthesisstagesstage caused a reduction in ear weight and biomass.

Conclusion

Anthesis and grain filling period are crucial stages under environmental stresses including salinity and have been identified as major constraints to wheat production worldwide (Ghosh et al., 2016). Salinity tolerance is an outcome of various features that depend on different physiological interactions, which are difficult to determine. According to our study better growth and yield of KRL1-4 tolerant cultivar compared to UP2338 sensitive cultivar when exposed to stress may be due to increased osmolyte production, higher water content and total nitrogen and proteins in leaves.

References

Comment [MOU2]: Follow same reference style throughout the whole manuscript.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [MOU3]: It is suggested to write conclusion in your own words, don't add reference in the conclusion section.

1. Arefian,M.,Vessal,S.andBagheri,A.(2014). BiochemicalchangesandSDS-PAGE analysesofchickpea(CicerarietinumL.)genotypesinresponsetosalinityduringthe earlystagesofseedlinggrowth.J.Biol.Environ.Sci.8 :99-109.
2. Arif,M.,Bangash,J.A.,Khan,F.andAbid,H.(2010).Qualityassessmentofdifferentironfor tifiedwheatflours.Pak.J.Biochem.Mole.Biol.43 :192-94.
3. Arora, N. K. (2019). Impact of climate change on agriculture production and its sustainable solutions. Environ. Sustain. 2, 95–96. doi: 10.1007/s42398-019-00078-w
4. Barr, H.D. and Weatherley, P.E. 1962. A re-examination of the relative turgidity technique for estimating water deficit in leaves. Aust. J. Biol. Sci. 15:413-428.
5. Bates,L.S.,Walden,R.P.andTeare,J.D.(1973).Rapiddeterminationoffreeprolineofwater stress studies.PlantSoil39: 205-07
6. C. Kaya, M. Ashraf, O. Sonmez, S. Aydemir, A. Levent Tuna, M.A. Cullu. (2009).The influence of arbuscular mycorrhizal colonisation on key growth parameters and fruit yield of pepper plants grown at high salinity.Sci. Hort., 121 (2009), pp. 1-6
7. Divya Singh. (2022). Juggling with reactive oxygen species and antioxidant defense system – A coping mechanism under salt stress,Plant Stress,Volume 5,100093. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stress.2022.100093.
8. Doneen,L.D.(1932).Amicromethodfornitrogen estimationinplantmaterials.PlantBiochem.14:74-82
9. Ehtaiwesh, F. A., and Rashed, H. F. (2020). Growth and yield responses of libyan hard wheat (Triticum durum Desf) genotypes to salinity stress. Zawia Univ. Bull. 22, 33–58.
10. EL Sabagh Ayman, Islam Mohammad Sohidul, Skalicky Milan, Ali Raza Muhammad, Singh Kulvir, Anwar Hossain Mohammad, Hossain Akbar, Mahboob Wajid, Iqbal Muhammad Aamir, RatnasekeraDisna, Singhal Rajesh Kumar, Ahmed Sharif, Kumari Arpna, Wasaya Allah, Sytar Oksana, Brestic Marian, ÇIG Fatih, Erman Murat, Habib Ur Rahman Muhammad, Ullah Najeeb, Arshad Adnan. (2021).Salinity Stress in Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) in the Changing Climate: Adaptation and Management Strategies. Frontiers in Agronomy,3.
11. Eroglu, Ç., Cabral, C., Ravnskov, S., Topbjerg, H., and Wollenweber, B. (2020). Arbuscular mycorrhiza influences carbon-use efficiency and grain yield of wheat

grown under pre- and post-anthesis salinity stress. Plant Biol. 22, 863–871. doi: 10.1111/plb.13123

12. Farouk, S. (2011). Ascorbic acid and α-tocopherol minimize salt-induced wheat leaf senescence. J. Stress Physiol. Biochem. 7, 58–79.
13. Ghosh, B., Md, N. A., and Gantait, S. (2016). Response of rice under salinity stress: a review update. Rice Res. 4:167. doi: 10.4172/2375-4338.1000167
14. Hajihashemi,S.,Kiarostami,K.,Enteshari,S.andSaboora,A.(2006).Theeffectsofsaltstressandpaclobutrazolonsomephysiologicalparametersoftwosalttolerantandsaltsensitivecultivarsofwheat.Pak.J.Biol.Sci.9:1370-74.
15. Hasan,A.,Hafiz1, H.R., Siddiqui,N., Khatun,M.,Islam,R.andAl-Mamun,A.(2015).Evaluationofwheatgenotypesforsalttolerancebasedonsomephysiologicaltraits.J.CropSci.Biotech.18:333-40.DOINo.10.1007/s12892-015-0064-2.
16. Iqbal, M. A., Junaid, R., Wajid, N., Sabry, H., Yassir, K., and Ayman, S. (2021). Rainfed winter wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) cultivars respond differently to integrated fertilization in Pakistan. Fresenius Environ. Bull. 30, 3115–3121.
17. Kalhoro, N. A., Rajpar, I., Kalhoro, S. A., Ali, A., Raza, S., Ahmed, M., et al. (2016). Effect of salts stress on the growth and yield of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Am. J. Plant Sci. 7:2257. doi: 10.4236/ajps.2016.715199
18. Kerepesi, I. and Galiba, G. (2000) Osmotic and Salt Stress-Induced Alteration in Soluble Carbohydrate Content in Wheat Seedlings. CropScience, 40, 482-487.
19. Khan,S.,Khan,J.,Islam,N.andIslam,M.(2011).Screeningandevaluationofwheatgermplasmforyield,droughtanddisease resistanceunderrainfedconditionsofupland Baluchistan.Pak.J.Bot.43:559-63.
20. Kizilgeci, F., Yildirim, M., Islam, M. S., Ratnasekera, D., Iqbal, M. A., and Sabagh, A. E. (2021). Normalized difference vegetation index and chlorophyll content for precision nitrogen management in durum wheat cultivars under semi-arid conditions. Sustainability 13:3725. doi: 10.3390/su13073725
21. Liu, X., Chen, D., Yang, T., Huang, F., Fu, S., and Li, L. (2020). Changes in soil labile and recalcitrant carbon pools after land-use change in a semi-arid agro-pastoral ecotone in Central Asia. Ecol. Indic. 110:105925. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.105925
22. Maas, E. V., and Grieve, C. M. (1990). Spike and leaf development of salt-stressed wheat. Crop Sci. 30, 1309–1313. doi:10.2135/cropsci1990.0011183X003000060031x

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Font color: Text 1

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Font color: Text 1

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

23. Odjegba,V.J.(2013).ResponsesofZeamays seedlingstosalinitystressandexogenous nitrogensupply.Nat Sci.11:63-69.

24. Sairam,R.K.,Rao,K.V.andSrivastava,G.C.(2002).Differentialresponseofwheatgeno typetolongtermsalinitystressinrelationtooxidativestress,antioxidant activityandosmolytesconcentration.PlantSci.163:1037-46.

25. Sehrawat, N., Bhatt, K.V., Sairam, R. K., Toomoka, N., Kaga, A., Shu, Y. and Jaiwal, P. K. (2013). Diversity analysis and confirmation of intra-specific hybrids for salt tolerance in mungbean [Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek]. Int. J. Integrative Biol. 14 : 65.

26. Sharbatkhari, M., Shobbar, Z. S., Galeshi, S., and Nakhoda, B. (2016). Wheat stem reserves and salinity tolerance: molecular dissection of fructan biosynthesis and remobilization to grains. Planta 244, 191–202. doi: 10.1007/s00425-016-2497-3

27. Somogyi,M.(1952).Notesonsugardetermination.J.Biol.Chem.195:19-23.

28. Tareq, M. Z., Hossain, M. A., Mojakkir, M. A., Ahmed, R., and Fakir, M. S. A. (2011). Effect of salinity on reproductive growth of wheat. Bangladesh J. Seed Sci. Technol. 15, 111–116.

29. Upadhyaya,H.,Khan,M.H.andPanda,S.K. (2007).Hydrogenperoxideinducesoxidativestressindetachedleavesof Oryzasativa L.Gen.Appl.PlantPhysiol.33:83- 95.

30. Wenji Liang, Xiaoli Ma, Peng Wan, Lianyin Liu., 2018. Plant salt-tolerance mechanism: A review,Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, Volume 495, Issue 1,2018,Pages 286-291,

31. Yousfi, S., Serret, M. D., and Araus, J. L. (2013). Comparative response of δ13C, δ18O and δ15N in durum wheat exposed to salinity at the vegetative and reproductive stages. Plant Cell Environ. 36, 1214–1227. doi: 10.1111/pce.12055

32. Yuesen Yue, Mingcai Zhang, Jiachang Zhang, Liusheng Duan, Zhaohu Li., 2012. SOS1 gene overexpression increased salt tolerance in transgenic tobacco by maintaining a higher K+/Na+ ratio, Journal of Plant Physiology, Volume 169, Issue 3, 255-261.

33. Yuesen Yue, Mingcai Zhang, Jiachang Zhang, Liusheng Duan, Zhaohu Li. (2012).SOS1 gene overexpression increased salt tolerance in transgenic tobacco by maintaining a higher K+/Na+ ratio,Journal of Plant Physiology,Volume 169, 255-261.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Highlight

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Not Italic, Highlight

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Highlight

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

34. Zheng,Y.,Xu,H.,Wang,M.Y.,Zheng,X.H.,Li,Z.J.andJaing,G.M.(2009).Responsesofsalttolerantandintolerantwheatgenotypes tosodiumchloride: photosynthesis, antioxidantactivitiesandyield.Photosynthetica47:87-94.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Comment [MOU4]: Follow same style for all images, text, size, style don't match with each other.



Figure 1a. Effect of salt on Proline content in 50 and& 60 DAS KRL1-4 cultivarof wheat under different NaCl concentrations





Figure2a. Effect of salt on Reducing Sugar in 50 and~~&~~ 60 DAS KRL1-4 cultivar of wheat under different NaCl concentrations





Figure 3a. Effect of salt on Relative Water Content in 50 and& 60 DAS KRL1-4 cultivar of wheat under different NaCl concentrations



Figure 3b. Effect of salt on Relative Water Content in 50 and& 60 DAS UP2338 cultivar of wheat under different NaCl concentrations



Figure 4a. Effect of salt on Nitrogen Content in 50 and& 60 DAS KRL1-4 cultivar of wheat under different NaCl concentrations



Figure 4b. Effect of salt on Nitrogen Content in 50 and& 60 DAS UP2238 cultivar of wheat under different NaCl concentrations



Figure 5a. Effect of salt on Total Protein Content in 50 and& 60 DAS KRL1-4 cultivar of wheat under different NaCl concentrations





Figure 6. Effect of salt on Harvest Index (%) in KRL1-4 and UP2338 wheat plants under different NaCl concentrations



Figure 7. Effect of salt on Spike weight in KRL1-4 and UP2338 wheat plants under different NaCl concentrations



Figure 8 . Effect of salt on Tiller number in KRL1-4 and UP2338 wheat plants under different NaCl concentrations



Figure 9. Effect of salt on Spike height in KRL1-4 and UP2338 wheat plants under different NaCl concentrations