

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_92673
Title of the Manuscript:	GLOBALISATION AND RISING OBESITY IN LOW-MIDDLE INCOME COUNTRIES
Type of the Article	Commentary

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	It's a commentary with nice correlation with literature for obesity prevalence. It would have been better if some epidemiological correlation of obesity trend with globalisation could have been presented. This correlation should have been a personal effort in the form of figure rather than copying it from any paper. for a research paper, even though if it is a commentary need some personal research or analysis. Not merely based on what you perceive but needs to be backed up by the data. This aspect is missing and needs to be incorporated to convince your audience, otherwise it seems more of an opinion then data-backed analysis. Although the author does have a strong point to make across, they need to incorporate some analysis of literature to back their points.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes. There are lots of personal opinions regarding raising concerns for WHO being not independent – as a personal opinion. If its opinion it should be clarified rather than stating as a matter of fact. I have incorporated these comments in the manuscript in track changes and comments	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amber Palla
Department, University & Country	The Aga Khan University, Pakistan