

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_92652
Title of the Manuscript:	A review of the therapeutic potentials of Stem cells, fibroblast growth factors and T-cells in Regenerative Medicine.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	My comments include the following 1. The article is very voluminous and is more like a part of a book. The content should be more concise 2. There is no need to mention both white and brown stem cells when talking about adipose tissue stem cells. It is better to summarize stem cells derived from adipose tissue as the title. 2. It is better that the photos given in the article are from your own impressions according to the subject being studied, not copies from other places and referring to it. 3. It is better to prepare the clinical findings of the use of FGF in the form of a table, which you can use from the clinical trial governmentsite (clinicaltrials.gov), which is a reliable site. 4. Adding the word Study 1 or 2 in the text has no meaning, the same reference is sufficient. 5. It is better to summarize the diseases treated with ADSCs in a table and avoid bringing the text. In the case of T-cell, it is observed that it should be corrected.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amir Nejadmoghaddam
Department, University & Country	Marine Medicine Research Center, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Science, Iran