

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_91108
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTI-DIABETIC EVALUATION OF METHANOL EXTRACT OF FICUS EXASPERATA VAHL. (MORACEAE)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Authors propose study aimed to carry out anti-diabetics evaluation of methanol extract of Ficus exasperata leaves. The aim of paper appears clear and good treated in the abstract as good resumed in the title of manuscript. References are appropriate, relevant. The process of literature revision and articles selection is clear.. Introduction is complete, treating just known matters in literature. Good explication of matter with functional chapters organization. Methods of research is good exposed, variables defined and measured appropriately. Data are presented in an appropriate way. Tables and figures are relevant and clearly. Discussion of treated matter is just performed in coherent way, without being overinterpretaed. Good is the readability and links with other up-dated review on the same matter treated.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Concetto Sessa
Department, University & Country	Italy