

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_91339
Title of the Manuscript:	The Utilization and Preservation Practices of Jute Mallow (<i>Corchorus olitorius</i>) In Western Kenya.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>°C without spaces must be written immediately after the value.</p> <p>The manuscript has some errors that are missing and extra spaces, for example, Sinoko[11], exact Sinoko [11]; population> 10,000, exact population > 10,000; 3.1Socio-economic, exact 3.1 Socio-economic; 2.31±0.82 days, exact 2.31 ± 0.82 days; Manuche [24], exact Manuche [24]; P=0.01, exact p = 0.01; While Ayua& Omware, exact Ayua & Omware; (n=45), exact (n = 45); 10.60 ±0. 682, exact 10.60 ± 0.682; 26.90± 2.09 minutes, exact 26.90 ± 2.09 minutes, etc.</p> <p>Table 3.2 must be revised into Table 2.</p> <p>(n = 138) in the abstract must be changed to 139.</p> <p>P, Q according to the formula do not capitalize but lowercase.</p> <p>The values presented in the manuscript do not match the values in table 2, table 3, the author needs to review, for example, 61.33% were women and 42% were men, but in Table 2, 63% were women and 37% were men.</p> <p>The effect preservation techniques have on the nutritional quality of jute mallow has not been demonstrated, so there is still a lack of recommendations for the study area.</p> <p>In reference, the author needs to present for consistency, for example, reference 2 is different from other referents, etc.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tran Dinh Manh
Department, University & Country	Thu Dau Mot University, Vietnam