

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_88101
Title of the Manuscript:	HYDRAULIC BUSH CUTTER- BUSH CUTTING DEVICE: A REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript need major corrections in the literature. The author is suggested to make revisions so that the paper will be better and free from grammatical errors.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Abstract should be subheaded with <b>Aim, Study design, Methodology, Results and Conclusion</b>. Refer author guidelines for clarity. Refer Guidelines <a href="#">General Guideline for Authors (peerreviewcentral.com)</a></li> <li>2. It seems author is confused between review of literature and review paper. Complete article should be revised. Introduce every sub heading by pointing out its need as well as conclude it.</li> <li>3. Provide some pictures and tables.</li> <li>4. Write <b>conclusion with atleast 250 words</b> describing the need and solution that is recommendable from this research and why.</li> <li>5. Please recheck all references and revise; all journals mentioned in the references should abbreviated. <b>Example:</b> Baneh NM, Navid H, Alizadeh MR and Zadeh HR. Design and development of a cutting head for portable reaper used in rice harvesting operations. <i>J of Applied Biological Sci.</i> 2012; 6(3): 69-75.</li> <li>6. All the references should be numbered. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3].</li> <li>7. Compare the mechanical bush cutting operation with traditional techniques. And how saving in cost can be achieved. Refer peer reviewed articles and follow the pattern.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Include acknowledgement, competing interests and authors contributions at the end of the manuscript. Refer the journal guidelines. <a href="#">Manuscript Submission   Journal of Experimental Agriculture International (journaljeai.com)</a></li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. Submitted review article need major corrections to make it better. Complete manuscript looks very similar to review of literature. Review article is drawing a conclusion from a good peer reviewed articles which is missing in the submitted article.</li> <li>3. For a review paper, the submitted paper seems small (limited to 2700 words).</li> </ol>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	<b>S Sai Mohan</b>
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	<b>Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, India</b>