

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_90268
Title of the Manuscript:	Status Of Maternal, Neonatal And Child Health Services In Primary And Secondary Health Facilities Of Public Sector In Pakistan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Abstract does not have a logical flow i.e., introduction, methods, results, conclusion, and recommendations</li> <li>• The conclusion in the abstract should be drawn from the results</li> <li>• The English grammar is wrong needs review</li> </ul> <p><b>Introduction</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Re-write this section to align it to the objectives and the title</li> <li>• What are you looking at is it MNC or MNCH be specific and consistent</li> <li>• No citation mentioned anywhere</li> </ul> <p><b>Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What is your sample size and study subjects, this should come out clearly</li> <li>• What was your inclusion criteria?</li> </ul> <p><b>Results</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Some of the numbers are not matched.</li> <li>• Make your figures/diagrams legible</li> <li>• Focus on giving the results and don't introduce discussions in this section</li> <li>• You have mentioned "Punjab condition is satisfactory", in the results, yet you have not demonstrated in the methods section how you measured satisfaction.</li> </ul> <p><b>Discussions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This section needs to be re-written, it's just a repetition of the results. Discussion should have study comparison with appropriate citations.</li> </ul> <p><b>Conclusion</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This should be drawn from the results</li> </ul> <p><b>References</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All the references are not cited anyway in the document</li> </ul>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>Of concern is the use of Millennium Development Goals (MDGs)while we are at Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Data used is old and doesn't reflect current or recent past. English grammar needs a lot of reviews</b>	
----------------------------------	--	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Vincent Ibwo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Maseno University, Kenya</b>