

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_90268
Title of the Manuscript:	Status Of Maternal, Neonatal And Child Health Services In Primary And Secondary Health Facilities Of Public Sector In Pakistan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Status Of Maternal, Neonatal And Child Health Services In Primary And Secondary Health Facilities Of Public Sector In Pakistan.</p> <p>The study aimed to assess the efforts being carried out by the public sector to decrease Maternal, Neonatal, and Child mortality in Pakistan to establish an information base for future planning.</p> <p>This is my opinion:</p> <p>The authors study the operation, physical resources, medical personnel and coverage in a group of care centers and hospitals, the sample represented 33.5% of the centers that work in Pakistan.</p> <p>The study design is adequate.</p> <p>The objectives are well defined.</p> <p>The method is described correctly.</p> <p>The results are adequately presented. The authors identified the weaknesses and strengths of the Pakistani Health System sample that participated in the survey.</p> <p>The discussion is lucky. The authors comment on the current situation of Pakistan in its national and world context, the weaknesses and their possible corrections.</p> <p>The conclusion is correct.</p> <p>Recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Authors must delete part of the objective text (Yellow color mark)</li> <li>2. Authors must show figure 1. Figure 1 is not observed.</li> <li>3. Authors must complement references 11, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22. These references do not have DOI or URL.</li> </ol> <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>These are minor modifications.</p> <p>Authors must modify the manuscript for immediate publication.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Juan Gustavo Vázquez-Rodríguez</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mexico</b>