

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_91588
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Plant Growth Regulators on Growth Parameters of Bottle Gourd ( <i>Lagenaria siceraria</i> ) cv. MGH-4
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is poorly organized. The scientific standard of the manuscript is below for publication in any scientific journal.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Abstract is too short</li> <li>2. The introduction does not explain well why the species needs growth promoters application.</li> <li>3. The methodology lacks the basic ingredients. Most importantly the data analysis part is missing and comparing only means by visualization could not be enough.</li> <li>4. The result is also the mirror image of the methodology what lacks in the methodology makes the result very preliminary.</li> <li>5. The discussion could also be made better</li> <li>6. The conclusion is very bold. Not supported with data.</li> </ol> <p>However, they have data at hand. They can do appropriate data analyses (ANOVA and mean comparison) and resubmit.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Citation should have uniform format</li> <li>2. Statements are fragmented and lack flow/coherence</li> <li>3. Grammar is also a problem.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Resubmit after major revision.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fisseha Asmelash
Department, University & Country	Ethiopian Biodiversity Institute, Ethiopia