

Editor's Comment:

This paper was reviewed by two reviewers and I agree with them "The paper is too short to be called a research paper"

Please write to authors and say them to review a paper according Reviewers namely:

1 - improve the paper according to the reviewers' analysis

2 - I agree that "The paper is too short to be called a research paper". The authors must lengthen the paper to at least 8 pages

R1 -The manuscript is poorly organized. The scientific standard of the manuscript is below for publication in any scientific journal.

1. Abstract is too short
2. The introduction does not explain well why the species needs growth promoters application.
3. The methodology lacks the basic ingredients. Most importantly the data analysis part is missing and comparing only means by visualization could not be enough.
4. The result is also the mirror image of the methodology what lacks in the methodology makes the result very preliminary.
5. The discussion could also be made better
6. The conclusion is very bold. Not supported with data.

R2

1. The paper is too short to be called a research paper
2. The whole section should be revisited, Abstract, introduction, Materials, and methods etc.

Editor's Details:

Prof. Gilberto Santos
ESD- Polyt Institute Cávado Ave, Portugal.