

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_91602
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Implementation of Nursing Process among Nurses in a Teaching ACHING Hospital in the Southeast of Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lac k of N o velt y**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript contained poor-quality prose with typographical errors and unclear statements, poor data collection techniques, and poorly reviewed literature with weak arguments, and conclusions. Kindly see below my specific comments on the manuscript:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I assume that the author aimed to evaluate the implementation of nursing processes among nurses in a teaching hospital in the southeastern state of Nigeria. The topic - Evaluation of Implementation of Nursing Process among Nurses in a Teaching ACHING Hospital in the Southeast of Nigeria, is too broad. There are other teaching hospitals in the southeast area and only the findings from this study cannot be generalized to cover the southeast area. I further suggest that the author should state the specific state/area studied and should rephrase the topic for better understanding. • Furthermore, based on the topic, this study ought to be a longitudinal study – a one-month duration is inadequate for a comprehensive evaluation of the implementation of nursing processes in the various departments mentioned in a teaching hospital. • Abstract – the abstract does not contain all the characteristics of an abstract. It should therefore be reviewed and properly edited. • The study did not have a literature review. How did the author get the variables used in the study? Is it novel? Kindly specify. • Kindly state the total population of the study area • Referencing – only 6 references were listed. To achieve the depth required for study, I recommend that more in-text citations and references should be added and the focus should be on contemporary works of literature. • The researcher was not clear on what he/she contributed to knowledge. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Patricia G. N. Harry
Department, University & Country	University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital, Nigeria