

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_91465
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Anti-diabetic Potential of Ethanol Leaf Extract of Jathropha Tanjorensis and Impact on Liver Antioxidant Enzymes
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Page 1. Line 23 should read "...mellitus abounds globally...."</li> <li>2. Page 2. Line 9 should read "...<i>tanjorensis</i> [7] hence the need to....."</li> <li>3. Page 3. Line 3 should read "...in desiccators."</li> <li>4. Page 3. Line 11 should read "...for 24 h to..."</li> <li>5. Page 3. Line 14 should read "...for 48 h for..."</li> <li>6. Page 3. Line 14. "...toxicity Lorke [8]." is not really understandable and must be rewritten.</li> <li>7. Page 4. Line 7 should read "...was homogenized and subsequently....."</li> <li>8. Page 4. Line 8 should read "...supernatant which was set to assay for..."</li> <li>9. Page 4. Line 12 should read "...Martin et al. [10] was....."</li> <li>10. Page 5 Table 1. Is there any discussion about the two numbers with large errors namely 20.13 and 32.02?</li> <li>11. Page 7. Line 20 should read "...is in tandem with..."</li> <li>12. Page 8. Line 4 should read "...<i>tanjorensis</i> yields impressive...."</li> <li>13. Page 9. The references namely the name of journals should be made consistent, whether abbreviated or not.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Pipat Chotoo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Prince of Songkla University, Thailand</b>