



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_67215
Title of the Manuscript:	Proteins and mineral content of cultivated oyster mushrooms grown in Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: The part should be more precise. Suppose, which type of mushroom shows better result based on the experiment. No specific comment is seen in the part.</p> <p>Introduction: Last portion of introduction should be rewritten from the view point of title of the paper.</p> <p>Experiments: Determination procedure of every element should be written clearly with appropriate references. A table may be added for that purpose. Appropriate equipment's name and their model, and country should be mentioned.</p> <p>Results and discussion: More explanation is required in discussion part regarding the experiments. Only comparison is found in this part compare to other references. Reasons of such result should be explained properly.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	It is better to show the image of mushrooms that are used in these experiments. Also mention the similarities or differences found among the used mushrooms.	
Optional/General comments	Check the sentences for grammatical mistakes carefully.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahabub Hasan
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Textile Engineering and Research, University of Dhaka, Bangladesh