

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_77936
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Non-Timber Forest Products in income generation of the tribal population – A Review
Type of the Article	REVIEW

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Even though it is a review paper, it has to infer more economic propositions. Classification of NTFBs among different studies is not adequate. I did not get a sound interpretation of 'income' contribution. The authors should focus more on the economic value of NTFBs, either bare or processed. As there is no country/ region orientation, quantitative supports to inferences can be calculated and inserted. The paper needs to be double viewed with reference to academic use of English after it gets significant revision.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rahmiye Figen Ceylan
Department, University & Country	Akdeniz University, Turkey