

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_74774
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPING A TEST TO MEASURE THE KNOWLEDGE LEVEL OF FARMERS TOWARDS MARKET INTELLIGENCE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Need to be more improvements regarding and related to research problems in the Introduction portion. 2. In the methodology and data source part is not well written as per the standard format need to more improve. The methodology should be improved regarding the objective of the study and clearly mention all statistics tolls used by authors in the analysis part for the exam; Need each index to be rewritten with developing an Equation formula? 3. In the explaining part of results should be more improve related as both part theoretical framework and empirical framework. All tables of results should be more appropriate to explain. 4. Abstract not written proper standard need to be rewritten. 5. Key word,s not proper standard format need to be Improve Keywords as per the JEL Classification Codes of American Economic Association. for exam https://www.aeaweb.org/jel/guide/jel.php 6. After improving all points – write again the Conclusion and Recommendations. 7. References are not written as per the cited standard. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sandeep Kumar Baliyan
Department, University & Country	Giri institute of Development Studies, India