

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_74774
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPING A TEST TO MEASURE THE KNOWLEDGE LEVEL OF FARMERS TOWARDS MARKET INTELLIGENCE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper is a good contribution to a different research context in Tamil Nadu Agricultural University with a very good abstract. The empirical setting is very interesting because there are not so many publications of this application in this country, and it has a lot of interesting information. In addition, please, find some comments to be addressed in this paper. The text should avoid using concepts on the chapter "materials and methods" and focus on the description of the materials and methods of the study. The objective of the study should also be in the end of the introduction. The materials and methods are not developed with rigor: what is the universe? How was the sample calculated? What was the criteria for the selection of the sample? It needs to have an in-depth chapter of findings with a suggestion to make it in one chapter with the summary part and the conclusions are not in the text. The authors should put their conclusions based on the literature and the findings of the study.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Flavio Andrew do Nascimento Santos
Department, University & Country	University of Lisbon, Portugal