

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_SAJ SSE_93320</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Impact of Real Estate Environment on Knowledge Worker Performance in IT Companies: Evidence from Sri Lanka</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. State the problem statement and justification in the abstract, introduction and literature - See the track changes in the manuscript for details</li> <li>2. You need to contextualize the concepts, properly review them and domesticate them. See the track changes in the manuscript for details</li> <li>3. Provide the background section of the work where issues such as IT industry and firms in Sri Lanka are discussed. This will be helpful in the discussion and in understanding the settings</li> <li>4. Provide empirical literature in the work and use that to provide the gap and justification of the study. See the track changes in the manuscript for details</li> <li>5. Indicate how the sample size of 250 was determined and also show the sampling technique and procedures were done. See the track changes in the manuscript for details</li> <li>6. The discussion section of the work should show the methods of the works in which the discussion is making reference to. See the track changes in the manuscript for details</li> <li>7. In the results section of the work you mixed the method and the results - See the track changes in the manuscript for details</li> <li>8. You stated the hypotheses in the work, however there is no mentioned in the work how this was evaluated and tested - See the track changes in the manuscript for details</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Bernsah Damian Lawong</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ahmadu Bello University, Nigeria</b>