

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_94207
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on Prevalence of GI Nematodes In Buffalo In Udaipur, District (Rajasthan)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The paper has too many grammatical errors. It is difficult to understand some sentences</li><li>2. The paper lacks a discussion and conclusion</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. For more comments on the various sections, please see manuscript</li><li>2. The abstract has no background. The author should very briefly outline the following: (i) what is known about GI nematodes in Buffaloes in Udaipur, District (Rajasthan) (ii) What is not known about GI nematodes in that area and what gaps did the study fill?</li><li>3. The abstract lacks a conclusion</li><li>4. The end of the introduction lacks a conclusion in form of a justification of why the study was done</li><li>5. Under Materials and Methods, the study area is not described</li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	If the author includes the discussion and works on the many grammatical errors, then perhaps re-examination may be considered.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Humphrey Simukoko
Department, University & Country	University of Zambia, Zambia