

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JPRI_93431</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Efficacy of Carbapenems Versus Other Best Available Antibiotics in the Management of Patients with Urinary Tract Infections</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	In the present review justification for comparison of Carbapenems versus Piperacillin/Tacobactam is required. Clinical data justifying superiority of third generation antibiotics against Carbapenems should be included in section of Quality Evaluation of the Included Studies. The reoccurrence of bacterial infection is judged by risk ratio by various models rather should be studied by competent and significant model only, based upon efficacy.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Names of bacteria should be in italix, First letter of names of antibiotics should be in Capital letter, Reason for selection of patients of age group between 18 to above 75 yrs should be indicated in the section of Eligibility and Exclusion.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The outcome of review should be written properly and precisely representing importance of survey	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Aher Anilkumar Nivruttirao</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Savitribai Phule Pune University, India</b>