

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_91007
Title of the Manuscript:	An Investigation of Nutrition Awareness: A Field Survey At Rural Community, Jalgaon, Maharashtra
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Assessing people's knowledge of the importance of nutrition is very relevant, particularly in rural areas, which we know are particularly less developed than urban communities, since they generally lack services such as drainage and sufficient water supply, in addition, they are areas in which the level of income and the levels of schooling are lower, with which the health of their inhabitants is at risk. The results presented in the research carried out showed that most of the people evaluated in the two rural regions are unaware of such important aspects as what a balanced diet is and what malnutrition is. This showed that there is a lack of knowledge, but it was also possible to detect a lack of resources that allow the inhabitants of rural regions to face nutritional problems. Studies of this type should support the need to create public policies to establish, among other measures, awareness days or courses to improve the population's eating habits. In addition to the fact that it would be necessary to support the residents with the highest levels of poverty with basic provisions. In all this, of course, it is up to the governments of each country to take the corresponding actions.	
Minor REVISION comments	Enlarge Figure 1 and Figure 3. Improve the quality of Figure 3, it is difficult to interpret.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Cristina Gonzalez-Torres
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, Mexico