

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_93528
Title of the Manuscript:	Coumarins and phenolic compounds from the immature fruits of Solanum nigrum L.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The Authors are suggested to address the following queries- 1. Authors have described the spectroscopic details for the compounds. However, they have not elaborated the purpose of this study. Authors must discuss about the type of the chemical components or the changes in type of components between the unripe and ripe fruit and their importance. 2. Authors should mention the full forms of the abbreviations like, ODS column, MPLC and HPLC etc. 3. Authors have not mentioned that how they have ascertained about the purity of the extracted compounds before they were subjected to IR or NMR spectroscopic studies. 4. Authors have mentioned about IR, 1H & 13C-NMR and Mass spectra for eleven of the compounds. However, none of these spectra has been provided in manuscript or in supplementary information. Authors must provide each of the spectra in SI, otherwise the deductions cannot be established. 5. Authors must check the quality of the english.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Authors must check the quality of the English.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ranendu Sekhar Das
Department, University & Country	Ranaghat College, India